

Part B: Roof coatings (version 3.0)

December 17, 2025 | Sustainable Minds | Contact Kim Hammer (kim@sustainableminds.com)

EPD use case goal:

1

EPD use levels are cumulative. Transparency is the baseline. To create a 'Data source' conformant PCR, all criteria in all checklists must be documented.

1. Program Operator (PO) checklist Version 1.0, May 25, 2022 | ACLCA PCR Open Standard 2022

Categories	#	Criteria	ISO reference	Supporting documentation	EPD use	3 Data source 2 Procurement 1 Transparency	
Organizational	Ground rules					How criteria were met	Due
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	Prior to using the ACLCA PCR Guidance 2022 to develop PCRs, the PO <b>shall</b> use this guidance to develop and publish conformant program instructions that describe the process of PCR development aligned with ISO/TS 14027.	This guidance	<b>General program instructions (governance document):</b> • ACLCA PCR Guidance 2022 conformant statement with version number	1 Transparency	Updated program instructions published to SM website <a href="http://www.sustainableminds.com/files/transparency/SM_Governance_and_program_rules.pdf">http://www.sustainableminds.com/files/transparency/SM_Governance_and_program_rules.pdf</a>	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	PO <b>shall</b> use this checklist to guide the creation of a PCR, identify how criteria were met, and provide the completed Program Operator Checklist and PCR Review Panel Checklist to the PCR Review Panel.	This guidance	<b>PCR supporting documentation:</b> • Completed checklist	1 Transparency	Completed checklists saved with the PCR supporting documentation.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	PO <b>shall</b> be the secretariat of the PCR and manage an open and transparent process to develop or update a PCR. This process <b>shall</b> include public notices prior to PCR development and an open consultation process with interested parties while the PCR Committee remains active.  PO <b>shall</b> publish the intention to develop (or update) a PCR on its website, in relevant industry and trade publications and/or news services, and through centralized notification mechanisms. The announcements <b>shall</b> include contact information that allows interested parties to request more information about participation in the PCR development or review process.  Interested parties may include material suppliers, manufacturers, trade associations, purchasers (such as architects, designers, specifiers, contractors, and engineers), users, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and public agencies.	14027 Clause 6.4.1	<b>PCR supporting documentation:</b> • Date(s) announcement(s) were posted and where	1 Transparency	Public notice on the Sustainable Minds website announcing the new version of roof coatings Part B on February 19, 2025: <a href="http://www.sustainableminds.com/transparency-report-program/part-b">http://www.sustainableminds.com/transparency-report-program/part-b</a>  Email blast on February 19, 2025 to mailing lists of LCA professionals, building and construction industry and trade associations, and participants listed in the previous version of the PCR.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4	PO <b>shall</b> determine whether to create a new PCR or to adapt an existing PCR from other geographic regions. The PO <b>shall</b> justify the determination in the PCR.	14027 Clause 6.4.2, 6.4.3	<b>PCR:</b> • Identify existing PCRs considered, and provide justification for creating a new PCR. • If new, identify the supporting LCA. • Describe how existing PCRs will be adapted.	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5	PO <b>shall</b> evaluate upstream and downstream PCRs in the value chain to be considered for alignment. PO <b>shall</b> list relevant PCRs in the PCR. <i>Note: Also see Criterion 15 for the process of determining when a PCR may be updated.</i>	14044 14027 Clause 6.4.3 This guidance	<b>PCR supporting documentation:</b> • Identify existing upstream PCRs for the major inputs to the product(s) considered in the PCR. • Describe differences in allocation rules or other potential conflicts and how they were resolved. • Identify existing downstream PCRs that use products/materials from the PCR and how inconsistencies were resolved.	3 Data source	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6	PO <b>shall</b> harmonize PCR activities with other EPD programs to avoid unnecessary duplication and proliferation of similar PCRs, and align on mutual recognition agreement (MRA) requirements. PO <b>shall</b> list relevant PCRs in the PCR. <i>Note: Refer to both the ACLCA's PCR library and the North American PCR Catalog: Building &amp; Construction Materials <a href="https://www.transparencycatalog.com/na-pcr-catalog-building-products">https://www.transparencycatalog.com/na-pcr-catalog-building-products</a></i>	14027 Clause 6.5.5 14029 Clause 7, 9.2	<b>PCR supporting documentation:</b> • Identify whether this criteria is applicable. • Identify other POs engaged to harmonize PCR activities and opportunities explored (joint development of new, merging, application of existing, or adaption of existing). • MRA between POs one exists.	1 Transparency	Addressed in Program operator responsibilities section of Part B.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7	PO <b>shall</b> publish and implement procedures for an appeals mechanism to ensure prompt and impartial handling of procedural complaints regarding any action or inaction of the PCR Committee, PCR Review Panel, or Program Operator.	14027 Clause 6.4.4	<b>General program instructions (governance document):</b> • Explanation of appeals process	1 Transparency	Addressed in section 10.0 of the governance document.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8	PO <b>should</b> include a method for addressing data quality in its general program instructions. <i>Note: Refer to the addendum "Assessing Data Quality of Background Life Cycle Inventory Datasets" for an example data quality assessment method.</i>		<b>General program instructions (governance document):</b> • Method for Data Quality Assessment	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	PCR committee formation					How criteria were met	Due
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9	PO <b>shall</b> actively reach out to interested parties (including parties outside the PO's country or region) to ensure that the PCR Committee is composed of independent members, making sure that the interests of one party do not dominate the PCR development process. No single interested party category (at individual, organizational, or sectoral levels) shall dominate the membership of a PCR Committee. Interested parties may include material suppliers, manufacturers, trade associations, purchasers (such as architects, designers, specifiers, contractors, and engineers), users, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and public agencies.	14025 Clause 5.5, 6.5, & 9.3 14027 Clause 6.4.1 and 6.4.2	<b>PCR:</b> • List of PCR Committee members with employer and/or other entity on behalf of which they are participating.  <b>PCR supporting documentation:</b> • Description of interested party outreach efforts and explanation of interested parties that did not participate.	1 Transparency	Working group members listed on page 1 of the Part B.	Complete

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10	PO <b>shall</b> address potential conflicts of interest developing the PCR and fully disclose funding sources for the management to interested parties. If significant external funding was made by one or more parties to support the development, the PO <b>should</b> put in place procedures to ensure that no conflict of interest occurs in the PCR process. 'Significant funding' is defined as more than \$10,000 or its in-kind equivalent, or 20% or more of the anticipated funding needs.	US EPA Environmentally Preferable Purchasing Program Framework for the Assessment of Environmental Performance Standards and Ecolabels for Federal Purchasing. <a href="https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2022-02/updated-framework_020222.pdf">https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2022-02/updated-framework_020222.pdf</a>	PCR supporting documentation: - The policy or procedure in use when the PCR was developed covering conflicts of interest, separation of organizational functions necessary to address any potential conflict of interest. - Attestation that this policy or procedure was followed during the development.  The evidence must also include one of the following: - Documentation that original sources of funding were disclosed to interested parties, such as a disclosure statement, or in meeting minutes for relevant working groups.	1 Transparency	Conflict statement included in the Part B development information table of the Part B.	Complete
	Content of PCR						How criteria were met	Due
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11	The PCR <b>shall</b> report on the following items: • Name and registration number of the PCR • General information about the program: name of the program, contact information, logo, and website if applicable • PCR Committee members and affiliations • Publication date • Expiration date and renewal schedule • Types of product claims covered by the PCR, with references to standards • Product category • Geographical representativeness of the PCR • Original language and translations (if existing) • How to make comments to the PCR	14027 Clause 6.5	PCR: • Draft PCR that includes all items reported	1 Transparency	Part A section 1.1 addresses the use of SM PCRs to create ISO 14025 Type III environmental declarations, and also language availability. <a href="http://www.sustainableminds.com/files/transparency/SM_Part_A_LCA_calculation_rules_and_report_requirements_2023.pdf">http://www.sustainableminds.com/files/transparency/SM_Part_A_LCA_calculation_rules_and_report_requirements_2023.pdf</a>  All other items are addressed in the Part B.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	12	The PCR <b>shall</b> report the following information about the review process and background of the PCR: • Review panel member information • Open consultation period and participants • Other existing PCRs for the product category and reasons for developing a new one • Reference to underlying LCAs • Confirmation statement that the PCR was created in conformance with this ACLCA PCR Guidance (including version number)	14025 Clause 5.5, 8.2 14027 Clause 5.2, 6.4.4 14025 Clause 6.7.1, 6.7.2 14027 Clause 6.1, 6.4.3, 6.5.3, 7.1d	PCR: • Draft PCR that includes all items except 'open consultation period'  PCR supporting documentation: • Open consultation period and participants	1 Transparency	All items except open consultation participants addressed in Part B.  Aggregated technical and public comments spreadsheet, including commenter names and committee responses, to be created and made available in the Detailed Review Report.	Complete
	PCR review process						How criteria were met	Due
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	13	PO <b>shall</b> set up an independent third-party review panel composed of a minimum of three members (a chair and two members). The combined competencies of the panel <b>shall</b> include, at a minimum, expertise in LCA and in the relevant product sector. <i>Note: Refer to the PCR Review Panel Checklist for review panel expectations.</i>	14027 Clause 7.1, 7.2, 7.3, 14025 Clause 8.2.3	PCR: • List of review panel members	1 Transparency	Working group members listed on page 1 of each Part B.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	14	PO <b>shall</b> also set up an open consultation review.	14027 Clause 6.4.4, 7.3	PCR supporting documentation: • Date(s) open consultation period(s) announced, where/how; aggregated comments spreadsheet	1 Transparency	Aggregated technical and public comments spreadsheet, including commenter names and committee responses, to be created and made available in the Detailed Review Report.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	15	PO <b>shall</b> ensure the PCR Review Panel provides comments within a 90-day period.	This guidance	PCR supporting documentation: • Date(s) PCR review period	1 Transparency	Due date less than 90 days provided to PCR reviewer (Oct 17 - Nov 7).	Complete
	Publication, new and updated PCRs						How criteria were met	Due
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	16	PO <b>shall</b> be responsible for publishing and maintaining the PCR. The published PCR shall be publicly available on the PO's website, free for any other PO to use.  PO <b>shall</b> write out the publication date (e.g., June 25, 2022) and expiration date (e.g., June 24, 2027). PCRs <b>shall</b> have a validity period of no more than five years from the publication date. PCRs are invalid beyond the expiration date. PO <b>shall</b> provide the schedule for renewal, if applicable.  PO <b>should</b> include a statement adjacent to the PCR Review Panel attribution to indicate conformance with this guidance (including version number) and the EPD use case level.  PO <b>should not</b> act as a barrier to translating the PCR and should act as a facilitator for the translation	14025 Clause 6.4, 6.7.1 14027 Clause 8.1.1  This guidance	PCR supporting documentation: • URL of PO's published PCRs page • URL PCR will be available at when published  PCR: • Validity period of PCR • Conformance statement and EPD use case level	1 Transparency	A link to the SM Part B page is included in each Part B. Completed Part Bs will be uploaded to that page when published. The URL of the Part B when published will be as follows: <a href="http://www.sustainableminds.com/files/transparency/pgds/Part_B_Product_Group_Definition_Roof_Coatings_2025_v3-0.pdf">http://www.sustainableminds.com/files/transparency/pgds/Part_B_Product_Group_Definition_Roof_Coatings_2025_v3-0.pdf</a>  Part B contains validity period, conformance statement, and EPD use case level.	Complete

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>17</b></p> <p>To manage the expectations of PCR users, the PO <b>shall</b> post update information on its website at least four months in advance of the expiration date. The update options include: extending the current PCR, updating the PCR, or letting the PCR expire with no update.</p> <p>If information is not provided within this timeframe, other POs may proceed with the update and post PCR update information on their website.</p>	This guidance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• URL of PO's PCRs undergoing updates</li> </ul>	1 Transparency	<p>Public notice on the Sustainable Minds website announcing the new version of roof coatings Part B on February 19, 2025: <a href="http://www.sustainableminds.com/transparency-report-program/part-b">http://www.sustainableminds.com/transparency-report-program/part-b</a></p> <p>Email blast on February 19, 2025 to mailing lists of LCA professionals, building and construction industry and trade associations, and participants listed in the previous version of the PCR.</p>	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>18</b></p> <p>To update a PCR during the validity period, the PO <b>shall</b>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Notify the original PCR Committee members and original Review Panel.</li> <li>2. Consult ISO 14027 to confirm the reason to update is valid.</li> <li>3. Create or update the ACLCA PCR Guidance Checklists for the PCR.</li> <li>4. Open consultation to interested parties.</li> <li>5. Update the PCR.</li> <li>6. Obtain sign-off by PCR Review Panel.</li> <li>7. Republish an updated version and include a change log at the start of the document.</li> <li>8. Announce the updated version.</li> <li>9. Update the ACLCA PCR Repository.</li> </ol> <p>In the case that an existing PCR does not meet the requirements for creating EPDs for public or private procurement purposes, the PO <b>shall</b> make an effort to first engage the commissioner of the PCR to reconvene the PCR Committee in order to make the required updates. If the PCR commissioner does not reconvene the PCR Committee within 30 days of the PO's request, then the PO may proceed to develop a new PCR using the existing PCR as an informative input document.</p>	14027 Clause 9	<p><b>PCR:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Valid update reason</li> </ul> <p><b>PCR supporting documentation:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Checklists</li> </ul>	1 Transparency	<p>The Part B development information table in Part B lists an Update justification where relevant. For this Part B, updates were initiated during the validity period at the request of the originators of version 2 of the PCR.</p> <p>The process for updating a PCR during the validity period is included in section 9.0 of the governance document. <a href="http://www.sustainableminds.com/files/transparency/SM_Governance_and_program_rules.pdf">http://www.sustainableminds.com/files/transparency/SM_Governance_and_program_rules.pdf</a></p>	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>19</b></p> <p>For substantial PCR updates (e.g., updates that impact the results of an EPD), the PO <b>shall</b> contact manufacturers in their program with valid EPDs and other POs to bring attention to the PCR changes and encourage that they update accordingly.</p>	14027 Clause 9	<p><b>PCR supporting documentation:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Description of notification and dates of outreach</li> </ul>	1 Transparency	<p>Emails sent on October 17, 2025 to Timothy Brooke with ASTM International and Andrea Burr with NSF International, notifying them of substantial PCR updates and encouraging EPD updates per their program.</p>	Complete
	EPD template					How criteria were met	Due
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>20</b></p> <p>PO <b>shall</b> create a standard EPD template to be used for all EPDs that can be customized per PCR to identify requirements unique to each. Consider both digital and print (PDF) publishing. <i>Note: Refer to the 'EPD Comparability and Digital EPDs / Open EPD addendum.</i></p> <p>PO <b>shall</b> include a statement adjacent to the PCR name to indicate conformance with this guidance and the EPD use case level.</p>	This guidance	<p><b>PCR:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EPD template document prepared for this PCR</li> <li>• Statement text included in EPD template</li> </ul>	1 Transparency	<p>A standard EPD template is included in Appendix C of Part A.</p> <p>Under the name of each Part B is a statement indicating conformance to this guidance and the EPD use case level.</p>	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>21</b></p> <p>PO <b>shall</b> ensure that the type of EPD developed is clearly noted on the EPD. <i>Note: Refer the 'EPD Types' addendum.</i></p>	This guidance	<p><b>PCR:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Statement text included in EPD template</li> </ul>	1 Transparency	<p>Requirement listed in the Verification statement section in Appendix C of Part A (EPD template).</p>	Complete
Goal and scope	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>22</b></p> <p>Product categories <b>shall</b> be primarily defined and sufficiently described by product functionality, technical performance, and use. The PCR <b>shall</b> clearly define the product groups for which the rules apply, both by using descriptive language and by using the relevant codes for any of the existing classification systems relevant to the product category and region. Products NOT covered by the PCR <b>shall</b> be clearly listed (as a clarification when products are similar).</p> <p>PO <b>should</b> ensure that the product classification systems are not to be the single determining factor for defining the product category. The PCR is encouraged to provide sufficient information to clearly describe the scope of products and services for which the rules apply.</p>	14027 Clause 8.1.1	<p><b>PCR:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft PCR which includes all the items</li> </ul>	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A

Part B: Roof coatings (version 3.0) December 17, 2025   Sustainable Minds   Contact Kim Hammer (kim@sustainableminds.com)				EPD use case goal:	1, 2 or 3	EPD use levels are cumulative. Transparency is the baseline. To create a 'Data source' conformant PCR, all criteria in all checklists must be documented.	
2. PCR Committee checklist <small>Version 1.0, May 25, 2022   ACLCA PCR Open Standard 2022</small>							
Categories	#	Criteria	ISO reference	Supporting documentation	EPD use	3 Data source 2 Procurement 1 Transparency	
Documentation	Ground rules					How criteria were met	Due
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> use this checklist to guide the creation of a PCR, identify how criteria were met, and provide the completed checklist to the Program Operator to provide to the PCR Review Panel.	This guidance	PCR supporting documentation: • Completed checklist	1 Transparency	Completed checklists saved with the PCR supporting documentation.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> thoroughly document the use of an existing PCR as an informative document in any adaptation to create a new PCR. Include the PO name, existing PCR name, product category classification, link to the existing PCR, and provide justification for adapting the existing PCR.	14027 Clause 6.4.3 and this guidance	PCR: • Link to PCR Committee's documentation of adaptation	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> respond to each comment from the PCR Review Panel and public consultation. Responses should address any conflicting comments provided by the PCR Review Panel.	This guidance	PCR supporting documentation: • Link to PCR Committee's documented public response to comments and consultation on PO's website (aggregated comments spreadsheet).	1 Transparency	Aggregated public comments and review panel comments, including committee responses, created and published on the SM website with the PCR supporting documentation.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> provide a limited description of the involvement of interested parties for open consultation. Specifically, the PCR should provide: • The name and/or affiliation of the stakeholders who participated in the open consultation. • The dates of the open consultation period. Public consultation should be utilized during the PCR review process. The public consultation of the completed draft PCR should include at a minimum a 30-calendar-day time period for comments to be submitted.	14025 Clause 5.5 14027 Clause 5.2, 6.4.4	PCR: • Draft PCR that includes list of participating interested parties and dates of consultation period.	1 Transparency	Open consultation period listed in 'Open consultation' section of the Part B development table.  Aggregated technical and public comments spreadsheet, including commenter names and committee responses, to be created and made available in the Detailed Review Report.	Complete
Compliance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that the underlying LCA meets the requirements of ISO 14044 and other pertinent standards and that, according to these standards, it has either been critically reviewed by a third party or has undergone an internal verification, either by the PCR Committee itself or appointed independent LCA expert.	14025 Clause 6.7.1, 6.7.2, 8.1.3, 8.2.1, 8.2.2 14027 Clause 5.1, 6.1, 6.5.3, 7.1d	PCR supporting documentation: • Link to documentation of LCA review or internal verification.	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that the PCR is compliant with any referenced standards and relevant program instructions under which it is developed.		PCR: • List of referenced standards and link to relevant program instructions.	1 Transparency	Use of Part B in conjunction with SM Part A is addressed in Program operator responsibilities section of each Part B. SM Part A section 1.1. lists the standards required for conformance. The last section of each Part B contains a link to where to find the SM program instructions (governance document).	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> establish LCA requirements that are consistent with ISO 14044. The PCR Committee is encouraged to develop end-use case scenarios for the PCR-compliant EPDs and to incorporate considerations for these use cases into the underlying LCA.	14025 Clause 6.7.1, 6.7.2 14027 Clause 5.1, 6.1, 6.5.3, 7.1d	PCR supporting documentation: • Third-party reviewed ISO 14040/44 conformant LCA of the product categories under consideration. The LCA will reflect cases in which the EPD may be interpreted in use.	1 Transparency	The underlying LCA is included in the Program operator responsibilities section of Part B and was conducted by a PCR committee member.	Complete
Goal and scope	Ground rules					How criteria were met	Due
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 8	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that all rules for LCA are specified and harmonized with upstream and downstream PCRs (if available) in conformance with relevant standards, including: specification of the functional unit, scope of the study, inventory collection, any allocation rules, impact assessment, and rules for additional information.	14044 14027 Clause 6.5.3	PCR: • Draft PCR with list of specifications	3 Data source	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that the product category used in the underlying LCA supporting the PCR is directly applicable to the PCR.	14025 Clause 3.14, 6.6, 6.7.2 14027 Clause 6.5.2, 6.5.3	PCR: • Specification and justification of the product category and applicable functional unit.	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> define the study scope and EPD type for construction products and services.	21930 Clause 5.2.1, 5.2.2	PCR: • Draft PCR with specification of scope as cradle-to-gate or cradle-to-gate with options or cradle-to-grave.	1 Transparency	Part B specifies the scope as as cradle-to-grave.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 11	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that a clearly defined and measurable functional or declared unit is included in the PCR for construction products and services.	21930 Clause 7.1.2, 7.1.3	PCR: • Draft PCR with detailed description of the application and suitability of defining functional and declared units, respectively.	1 Transparency	Part B provides a description of the functional unit.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 12	The PCR Committee <b>shall</b> determine which EPD types may be developed (ex: product-specific, industry-wide) and state the specific data requirements for each type. Any other terminology describing types of EPDs should be discouraged. <i>Note: Refer to the 'EPD Types' addendum for descriptions.</i>	ISO 21930 Annex B and 'EPD Types' addendum	PCR: • Draft PCR with description of the EPD types with specific data requirements	1 Transparency	Part B specifies EPD types in the Additional rules for comparability section.  Specific data requirements are listed in the Additional rules to Part A section of Part B.	Complete

	System boundary				How criteria were met	Due		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	13	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> determine the level of granularity of unit processes specified by the PCR to be included in the underlying LCA supporting the EPD and ensure that these are consistent with the study's goal of using well-identified and explained criteria.	14044 4.2.3.3 14027 Clause 6.5.3 21930 Clause 7.1.9 for construction products & services	PCR: • Draft PCR with list of all unit processes that include all service, material, and energy flows directly connected to the study project and its ability to perform its function.	3 Data source	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	14	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that the PCR requires: 1) at minimum, a cradle-to-gate[1] system boundary and that any deviation is explicitly specified and justified; and 2) the use of the recycled content (i.e., cut-off) approach for end-of-life allocation of environmental burdens between product systems.  [1] "Gate" represents the finished and packaged product at the manufacturing facility just prior to shipping.	14044 Clause 4.2.3.3.1 14025 6.7.2b, 6.7.2c, 6.7.2j, 7.2.5 14027 6.5.3b, 6.5.6	PCR: • Draft specification of the system boundary and justification of any system boundary minimum requirement deviations (where applicable).	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	15	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that the PCR specifies the capital goods and infrastructure to be included in cases whenever it is feasible. The PCR Committee is encouraged to specify lifetimes or standardized methods of computing lifetimes, as well as the depreciation method utilized to allocate the burden of capital goods over their service period, with any deviations from the default approach explicitly specified and justified.	This guidance	PCR: • Draft PCR that includes all items	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	16	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> develop scenarios representing a set of domain-specific standard guidelines for any and each life cycle stage to be included beyond cradle-to-gate (i.e., A1-A3) in the PCR scope and require LCA results for these be reported. The PCR <b>shall</b> also prescribe assumptions for scenarios in cases where there is no discernable difference between one product and another in the same category for use and end-of-life stages. The PCR Committee <b>should</b> include criteria in the PCR for deviation from the prescribed scenarios.	This guidance	PCR: • Where applicable, list of scenarios and associated assumptions.	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	17	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> specify whether the benefits and loads beyond the system boundary (i.e., Module D) are to be included in the EPD. If so, the PCR <b>shall</b> describe the specific scenario(s), benefits, and loads to be considered and reported separately in relevant EPDs communicating the full life cycle (cradle-to-grave) impacts of a product. <i>Note: Refer to the 'Circular Scenarios (Module D)' addendum.</i>	This guidance and 'Circular Scenarios (Module D)' addendum	PCR: • Where applicable, list of scenarios and concomitant benefits and loads to be included.	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
Life cycle inventory	Data collection				How criteria were met	Due		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	18	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> prescribe acceptable primary data collection practices and clearly specify the scope and data quality for secondary data with recommendations for use of specific datasets or databases facilitating this process. Datasets used for calculations <b>shall</b> have been updated within the last 10 years for background data and within the last 5 years for producer-specific (foreground) data; deviations shall be justified.  Where databases are required, alternatives or modifications shall be proposed for geographic areas or technologies beyond the scope of the specified dataset(s). Any deviation from the recommended background (secondary) datasets in the PCR shall be clearly specified and justified. In addition, the PCR <b>shall</b> require EPDs to disclose the reporting period for primary and secondary data. <i>Note: Refer to the 'Assessing Data Quality of Background Life Cycle Inventory Datasets' addendum.</i>	ISO 21930 Clause 7.1.9 and 'Data Quality and Secondary Background Datasets' addendum	PCR: • Draft PCR that includes all items	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	19	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> identify and ensure that the PCR specifies the selected LCIA indicators or additional information requirements for which relevant inventory information shall be collected.	14025 Clause 7.2.2, 7.2.3 14027 Clause 6.5.4, 6.5.5, 6.6	PCR: • Draft PCR that includes all items	1 Transparency	SM Part A includes the list of selected LCIA indicators. Part B also specifies use of IPCC AR6 for GWP.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	20	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> specify, based on the underlying LCA and/or additional studies informing the PCR, all the data that are to be collected (rather than specifying cut-off criteria for the inventory).	14025 Clause 7.2.3, 7.2.4 14027 Clause 6.6	PCR: • Draft PCR that includes all items	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	21	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> specify the type of data to be collected. The committee is encouraged to follow standard data collection examples for foreground (primary) data collection.	21930 Clause 7.1.9 14044 Annex A	PCR: • Draft PCR with data collection sheet example specific to PCR	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	Data quality				How criteria were met	Due		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	22	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> refer to relevant guidance to consider parameters for assessing data quality of both foreground (primary) and background (secondary) data. <i>Note: Refer to the 'Assessing Data Quality of Background Life Cycle Inventory Datasets' addendum which provides a data quality assessment method.</i>	21930 Clause 7.1.9 14044 Clause 4.2.3.6 14025 Clause 6.7.2 14027 Clause 6.2	PCR supporting documentation: • Complete data quality assessment for both foreground (primary) and background (secondary) data. This information shall also be included in the underlying LCA, and reviewed.	1 Transparency	The author of the underlying LCA completed a data quality assessment of primary and secondary data and provided PCR-related recommendations to the committee.	Complete
Background/secondary data					How criteria were met	Due		

	23	<p>PCR Committee shall ensure that the PCR specifies background (secondary) data quality requirements such that differences between claim results are rooted in actual technical differences, rather than artifacts of background data or the platform. If a secondary data source does not meet the required quality specified by the PCR, it shall be verified by the program operator that better data is not available. <i>Note: Refer to the 'Assessing Data Quality of Background Life Cycle Inventory Datasets' addendum which provides a data quality assessment method.</i></p> <p>For example, as detailed in this addendum, the most recent version of background data for baseline electricity from Federal LCA Commons met the data quality requirements and is recommended to be specified across PCRs (with the LCI and method compatible with the Federal Elementary Flow List (FEDEFL) from <a href="https://www.lcacommons.gov/">https://www.lcacommons.gov/</a>).</p>	Assessing Data Quality of Background Life Cycle Inventory Datasets' addendum	<p><b>PCR:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft PCR with list of background (secondary) data sources and default LCIA method(s)</li> </ul>	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	Foreground/primary data					How criteria were met	Due
	24	<p>PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that the PCR specifies primary data be collected for every process in the product system under the control of the organization making the product claim.</p> <p>The PCR Committee is encouraged to specify that data specific to the investigated product scope and supply chain are preferable to generic data, particularly in unit processes considered to have a significant contribution to the product life cycle.</p> <p>For EPDs seeking transparency-level conformance with this guidance, the PCR <b>shall</b> require the following: EPDs that use secondary data for any unit process that contributes 30% or more to any disclosed environmental impact category shall disclose the data source (database name and version, dataset name, dataset geography, and dataset allocation method).</p>	This guidance	<p><b>PCR supporting documentation:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Foreground (primary) data collected in conducting the underlying LCA, and the sensitivity of LCIA outcomes to variability in the foreground data. A facility-specific data collection protocol shall also be included.</li> </ul>	1 Transparency	<p>SM Part A section 7.6 states that primary data shall be collected for every process in the product system under the control of the organization(s) developing the LCA.</p> <p>Part B contains a statement in the Additional rules to Part A section which states: EPDs that use secondary data for any unit process that contributes 30% or more to any disclosed environmental impact category shall disclose the data source (database name and version, LCA modeling software type and version implemented, dataset name, dataset geography, and dataset allocation method)</p> <p>The underlying LCA will list primary data collected and include an analysis on sensitivity or variability.</p>	Complete
	25	<p>For EPDs seeking procurement-level conformance with this guidance, the PCR <b>shall</b> require that EPDs use facility-specific data for upstream unit processes that cumulatively contribute 50% or more to the disclosed global warming potential.</p> <p>In situations where facility-specific data is not available for the upstream unit processes, and such a facility is required to report to the EPA Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP), the PCR <b>shall</b> require the EPD to disclose in the Additional Environmental Information section: the carbon intensity of the manufacturing plant (carbon emitted per metric ton of product manufactured) from which these products, and/or the quartile in which the manufacturing plant resides where benchmarks have been published [<a href="https://www.epa.gov/ghgreporting/ghgrp-minerals">https://www.epa.gov/ghgreporting/ghgrp-minerals</a>]. Carbon intensity shall be calculated by dividing the emissions reported to the EPA GHGRP by plant production. Emission and production data must be from the same reporting period using the most recent year of data.</p> <p>When a published ENERGY STAR Energy Performance Indicator is available for a product or constituent upstream product, the PCR <b>shall</b> require the EPD to disclose in the Additional Environmental Information section: the ENERGY STAR Energy Performance Score for the manufacturing plant in which the product or constituent upstream product was manufactured, and the reporting period of the underlying data. See <a href="https://www.energystar.gov/industrial_plants/energy_star_plant_certification/buy_clean_procurement_and_energy_star_0">https://www.energystar.gov/industrial_plants/energy_star_plant_certification/buy_clean_procurement_and_energy_star_0</a> for more information.</p>	This guidance	<p><b>PCR:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft PCR that includes all items</li> </ul>	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	26	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that the PCR specifies the means by which primary data should be collected and may provide templates to facilitate harmonized data collection, metadata recording, and results reporting. If the specified data collection means are unachievable for a specific EPD developer, the PCR <b>shall</b> designate that the developer records the data collection method(s) utilized in the data description.	14025 Clause 6.7.2	<p><b>PCR:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Specification of data collection methods (e.g., measured, calculated, estimated)</li> </ul>	1 Transparency	SM Part A section 7.6 states: The method of data collection shall be specified (e.g., measured, calculated, estimated).	Complete
	Data assumptions					How criteria were met	Due
	27	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> specify all parameters of assumed scenarios for use and end-of-life stages so as to ensure comparability and consistency of results. If a manufacturer wishes to define their own scenario(s), they <b>shall</b> be based on primary data.	This guidance and the 'Circular Scenarios (Module D)' and the 'Allocating Materials Shared Across Product Systems' addendum	<p><b>PCR:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• List of parameters for use and end-of-life stage scenarios</li> </ul>	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 28	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that the PCR provides worst-case (i.e., 'conservative') default values for scenario data of the specified processes where no data are available for the EPD developer.	This guidance	PCR: • List of worst-case (i.e., 'conservative') default scenario values	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	Data compliance					How criteria were met	Due
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 29	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that claims made in the PCR are based on the results of an LCIA, LCI, and/or substantiated and verifiable additional information modules relevant to the product category.	14027 Clause 6.6	PCR: • An underlying LCA with supporting LCIA and LCI for all PCR guidelines	1 Transparency	The underlying LCA will contain relevant supporting LCA results.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 30	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that the PCR states data quality requirements for all data applicable for use in claims. These data <b>shall</b> be verified to be compliant with the established PCR data quality requirements and those for foreground (primary) and background (secondary) data. The PCR <b>shall</b> specify that a data quality assessment be performed on all collected foreground (primary) data and may provide templates to facilitate harmonized primary data collection, assessment, reporting, and verification. <i>Note: Refer to the 'Assessing Data Quality of Background Life Cycle Inventory Datasets' addendum.</i>	This guidance	PCR: • Data quality assessment criteria and/or template	3 Data source	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 31	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that PCR-designated background (secondary) data sources be specified and verified such that: • Data for electricity, transportation, basic fuels, and heavy equipment operation are the most current versions from common public background data (e.g., for North America, LCI and method compatible with the Federal Elementary Flow List (FEDEFL) from <a href="https://www.lcacommons.gov/">https://www.lcacommons.gov/</a> ). • Temporal, geographical, and technological coverage of the secondary data is compatible with the scope of the PCR. • System boundaries are equivalent, and reference flows are adaptable to the product system specified in the PCR. • Sources of secondary data are cited. • Allocation procedures used for secondary data are appropriate for the system under study.	This guidance and 'Assessing Data Quality of Background Life Cycle Inventory Datasets' and the 'Allocating Materials Shared Across Product Systems' addenda	PCR: • Draft PCR with list of background (secondary) data sources and default LCIA method(s)	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	Allocation					How criteria were met	Due
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 32	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that the PCR specifies which processes are to be subdivided if allocation can be avoided in this manner wherever feasible. The PCR <b>shall</b> also provide guidelines on how the subdivision should be performed.	14025 Clause 6.7.1c, 6.7.2c 14027 Clause 6.5.3	PCR • Draft PCR that lists processes and subdivision method	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 33	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure the PCR specifies that where allocation by physical relationship is applied, the PCR <b>shall</b> specify the relevant underlying physical relationships to be considered and establish or refer to the relevant allocation rules.	14025 Clause 6.7.1c, 6.7.2c 14027 Clause 6.5.3	PCR • Draft PCR that includes specification	1 Transparency	Allocation rules are listed in section 8 of SM Part A.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 34	PCR Committee <b>should</b> refer to relevant standards for defining allocation procedures for reuse and recycling, as well as waste handling, and for scenarios for treating waste generation during the product life cycle.	14044 Clause 4.3.4 21930 Clause 7.1.7.2.7	PCR • Draft PCR that includes specification	1 Transparency	Allocation regarding output of waste per ISO standards is listed in section 8 of SM Part A.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 35	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> refer to rules for and prioritize stepwise allocation for industrial processes that produce more than one product or deliver more than one service. For example, the refining of crude oil produces more than one different product, such as liquefied petroleum gas, gasoline, naphtha, diesel, asphalt, and others.  PCR Committee <b>shall</b> refer to rules prohibiting system expansion as a method for avoiding allocation for construction products that may involve the production of co-products; rather, the PCR <b>shall</b> prescribe an ISO-compliant method of allocation, or an allocation procedure if multiple methods are allowed.	14044 Clause 4.3.4.2 21930 Clause 7.2.5	PCR • Draft PCR including allocation method and procedure (where applicable)	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
Life cycle impact assessment	End of life scenario					How criteria were met	Due
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 36	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> prescribe ISO-compliant rules for allocation between product systems (across the system boundary) and designate whether Module D may be optionally reported in the EPD for construction products and services. If so, the PCR <b>shall</b> prescribe detailed calculation rules for any quantitative metrics reported therein. <i>Note: Refer to the 'Allocating Burdens and Benefits of Materials Shared Across Product Systems' addendum.</i>	21930 Clause 7.2.6	PCR: • Draft PCR with allocation rules and calculation rules	2 Procurement	N/A	N/A
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 37	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> include all minimally required, core indicators for ISO-compliant EPDs; specifically bulleting the indicator with: 1) the LCA characterization methodology, and 2) reference in parenthesis. Additionally, the PCR is encouraged to specify at least one LCIA method that includes characterization factors for calculating category indicator results for each impact category and each geographical region covered by the PCR.	21930 Clause 9.5	PCR: • Draft PCR including all items	1 Transparency	Core indicators are listed in section 9 of SM Part A.	Complete



Interpretation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	38	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> identify the steps for interpreting the results of the underlying LCA study.	14044 Clause 4.5 21930 Clause 9	PCR: • Draft PCR including all items	1 Transparency	SM Part A section 9.3 includes steps for interpreting the results of a background LCA.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	39	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that the PCR communicates requirements (either qualitative or quantitative) and reference the methods and format used to report additional environmental information.	21930 Clause 8.4 14025 Clause 7.2.3, 7.2.4	PCR: • Detailed specification on requirements and reference methods and format used to report additional environmental information.	1 Transparency	SM Part A section 10 includes a description of additional environmental information and the TR/EPD template in Appendix C showing placement of such information.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	40	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> ensure that the PCR lists assumptions and limitations associated with the underlying LCA results.	14044 Clause 4.5.2.1	PCR: • Draft PCR including all items	1 Transparency	SM Part A section 5.2 includes a description of assumptions and limitations associated with TR/EPD results.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	41	PCR Committee <b>shall</b> specify different types of uncertainties to be propagated in the underlying LCA study and is encouraged to ensure that the PCR describes procedures for reporting uncertainty of results.	14044 Clause 4.4.4.2 14025 6.7.1b	PCR: • Draft PCR including all items	1 Transparency	SM Part A states that uncertainty shall be addressed in the data quality assessment and may be addressed qualitatively or quantitatively.	Complete



Part B: Roof coatings (version 3.0) December 17, 2025   Sustainable Minds   Contact Kim Hammer (kim@sustainableminds.com)				EPD use case goal:		1, 2 or 3		EPD use levels are cumulative. Transparency is the baseline. To create a 'Data source' conformant PCR, all criteria in all checklists must be documented.	
3. PCR Review Panel checklist <span>Version 1.0, May 25, 2022   ACLCA PCR Open Standard 2022</span>									
Categories		#	Criteria	ISO reference	Supporting documentation	EPD use		3 Data source 2 Procurement 1 Transparency	
Organizational	Ground rules						How criteria were met		Due
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1	The PCR Review Panel <b>shall</b> use this checklist to guide their process of reviewing the PCR.	This guidance	PCR supporting documentation: • Completed checklist	1 Transparency		Completed checklists saved with the PCR supporting documentation.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2	PCR Review Panel members <b>shall</b> disclose any conflicts of interest using the conflict of interest form.	14027 Clause 7.2 14071	PCR supporting documentation: • Review panel completed conflict of interest forms	1 Transparency		Conflict of interest forms to be completed by review panel members.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3	The PCR Review Panel <b>shall</b> meet with the Program Operator to discuss the PCR and how to perform their review.  The PCR Review Panel <b>shall</b> investigate whether the PCR has been developed in accordance with relevant LCA-based claim standards, general program instructions, specifications, and guidelines, and ensure that it supports the creation of credible and consistent claims. The PCR Review Panel <b>shall</b> verify that the EPD template is consistent with the PCR guidelines.  The PCR Review Panel <b>shall</b> generate and compile their comments in a review report. By the agreed upon date determined by the Program Operator, the review report <b>shall</b> be sent to the PCR Committee for consideration.	14027 Clause 7, 7.3, 7.5 14071	PCR supporting documentation: • Dated review report	1 Transparency		Aggregated technical and public comments spreadsheet, including commenter names and committee responses, to be created and made available in the Detailed Review Report.	Complete
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4	The PCR Review Panel <b>shall</b> confirm that the PCR meets relevant EPD-related federal and/or state procurement requirements (e.g., Buy Clean Legislation) that are specifically referenced in the PCR.	This guidance and relevant EPD-related federal and/or state procurement requirements	PCR supporting documentation: • Reviewers' sign-off and/or list of any deviations from procurement requirements	2 Procurement		N/A	N/A
	<input type="checkbox"/>	5	The PCR Review Panel <b>shall</b> verify conformance the Program Operator and PCR Committee checklists and the appropriate category of EPD use is identified.	This guidance	PCR supporting documentation: • Reviewers' sign-off below and/or list of any deviations from this guidance. All three completed checklists returned to the PO.	1 Transparency		Section below completed by review panel chair, who confirmed sign-off from all review panel members.	Complete

Reviewer acceptance for EPD use case (1, 2, or 3)

Date | Reviewer names & email

Date	Revier name & email	Acceptance for EPD use case Level 1 (Y/N)
December 17 2025	Hugues Imbeault-Tétreault, hugues.i-tetreault@groupeageco.ca	Y
December 17 2025	Rifat Karim, rifat.chimique@gmail.com	Y
December 17 2025	Terrie Boguski, tboguski@harmonyenviron.com	Y



## Part B comments worksheet

SM Transparency Report™ Framework

Part B: Product group definition

Sustainable Minds, PCR Part B: Product group definition | Roof coatings v3.0, 2025. [http://www.sustainableminds.com/files/transparency/pgds/Part\\_B\\_Product\\_Group\\_Definition\\_Roof\\_Coatings\\_2025\\_v3-0.pdf](http://www.sustainableminds.com/files/transparency/pgds/Part_B_Product_Group_Definition_Roof_Coatings_2025_v3-0.pdf).

Part B name:	Roof coatings v3.0
Reviewers:	Hugues Imbeault-Tétreault, Terrie Boguski, Rifat Karim

Topic #	Page #	Section #	Type of comment (Technical/editorial/user)	Reviewer comment	Reviewer's proposed change/solution	Response	Rationale	Reviewer response	SM response
1			Technical	The ACLCA Open Standard PO checklist # 21 is not satisfied. This requirement refers to ensuring that the EPD Type is noted as 1. transparency, 2. procurement or 3. data source. I don't see this in the Part A Appendix C EPD template.	Add the requirement to the EPD template in Part A.	Reject	Part A Appendix C includes: "Statement shall include PCR(s) used and, where relevant, the type of EPD developed according to the ACLCA Open Standard (refer to Part B for level of conformance)."	Closed	-
2			General	The ACLCA Open Standard PO checklist #s 38 through 41 indicate that the requirements of the checklist are met by Part A, which is fine. However, it is unclear whether any additional more specific requirements should be considered for Part B.	Consider if there are specific requirements that should be added to Part B.	Accept	Draft underlying LCA used to support the development of this PCR was written by a PCR Committee member who contributed specific improvements to the Part B based on the results of the LCA.	Closed	-
3	2	Product specific terms	Editorial	The second bullet uses the term "finish coat", but this term is not defined or used elsewhere in the PCR. Is finish coat the same as topcoat?	Review and revise as needed.	Accept	Definition clarity needed; updated finish coat to topcoat.	Closed	-
4	5	1. TRE/EPD types	General	I very much admire the graphic.	None	Accept	Thank you Jim!	Closed	-
5	5	2. Additional rules to Part A	General	I do not understand the sentence: "This criterion applies to the LCI being used, and not the actual unit process data being reported by the manufacturer." Which criterion of the list does it refer to?	Review and revise as needed.	Accept	Added "This criterion to disclose the data source applies to the secondary data LCI being used" to clarify that this sentence refers to the secondary data set disclosure criterion.	Closed	-
6	1	Exclusions	Tech	The sentence should be re-written.	Suggested writing: "All type of paints, decorative & clear coatings, and any other type of coating products that are not field-applied."	Accept	Revised for clarity to read "Paints, decorative paints, clear coatings, and any coating which is not field applied"	Closed	-
7	3	Existing PCRs, EPDs, TRs, or LCAs	Tech	3rd bullet item: Latest version of the SmartEPD Part A is 1.2	Update to the latest version.	Reject	Third bullet refers to the Part B for plastic and elastomer roofing and sealing sheet systems, not Part A.	Closed	-
8	3	Functional performance	Tech	Use abbreviations after International Building Code - IBC	Use abbreviations / acronym specially if it is a prominent one like IBC.	Accept	Added acronym for clarity.	Closed	-
9	4	Line-2: System boundary	Tech	Instead of system boundaries, write system boundary	There is only one system boundary in a LCA study, to it should be singular noun. Also Section 5.2 of ISO 21930 talks about primarily the information modules. Only Figure-2 shows different modules with a chosen system boundary.	Accept	Clarity of shall statement needed; removed reference to system boundaries and clarified reference to section 5.2.2, which states "Modules beyond the factory gate shall be based on scenarios that shall be described in the EPD."	Closed	-
10	4	line-7: System boundary	Tech / Ed	write 'datasets' instead of data sets	In LCA we call these as datasets as one word instead of two words.	Reject	Stylistic preference; other SM program documents follow the APA style guide approach for data set.	Closed	-
11	4	Unit	Tech	Since we are not defining any function, it is a declared unit and NOT a functional unit.	Change to as 'Declared Unit' in paragraph -1 and paragraph -2.	Reject	Function is defined in the functional performance section.	Closed	-
12	4	Unit	Tech	Add common acronym.	Add ESL after estimated service life .	Reject	ESL and RSL are defined and used in the building estimated service life and product reference service life sections; additionally defining the acronym within the functional unit section is not necessary.	Closed	-
13	4	Rationale	Tech	Re-write the rationale sentence.	Instead of 'some' write as: The amount of product needed depends on the functional performance of the roof coating system over a specific surface area.	Accept	Updated as suggested.	Closed	-
14	6	Extraction and upstream production (A1)	Tech	Guidance for recycled content in the A1 should also be specified.	There should be guidance on recycled-content similar as scrap rate as well.	Accept	Added recycled content guidance at the end of A1.	Closed	-
15	6	Transport to factory (A2)	Tech	Instead of production site, the better wording should be the manufacturing site, since by A3, we generally refer to 'manufacturing'	Write as: "All transportation, including inter-facility transport prior to the material being shipped to the manufacturing site, shall be included."	Accept	Replaced three instances of "production site" to "manufacturing site" throughout the PCR.	Closed	-
16	6	Transport to factory (A2)	Tech	Add a justification requirement after certain distance is chosen when primary data is not available.	Add a sentence after the second paragraph; "Justification shall be included"	Reject	If primary data is not available, the distance chosen is specified by the PCR; justification for use of assumptions prescribed the PCR is not needed.	Closed	-
17	7	Manufacturing (A3)	Tech	Paragraph-5 : Re-writing required. Pallets, pallet-wrap, strapping are not considered as 'over-packaging'. They can be called as bulk packaging or secondary or tertiary level of packaging.	Suggested writing: "Primary product packaging and and packaging for transport (that applies on top of the primary level of product+packaging to keep the product safe during transport) shall be included in the A3 calculation.	Accept	Updated as suggested with minor modification.	Closed	-
18	8	Warehouse/distribution center	Tech	The first sentence does not specify the below energy consumption is for what exactly?	Please add that 0.14kwh/gallon electricity will be used as a default for "X" activity.	Accept	Added "default warehouse/distribution center energy consumption values" for clarity.	Closed	-
19	8	Last paragraph - Installation (A5)	Tech / Ed		Write as: It is common practice for leftover product to be cured prior to disposal.	Reject	Refers to the product being cured, not the practice of curing products.	Closed	-
20	8	Last paragraph - Installation (A5)	Tech / Ed	Do the construction waste get transferred with passenger vehicle or light duty truck?	Update/correct as appropriate.	Accept	Updated to light duty truck; also changed distance to 32 km to be consistent with C2.	Closed	-
21	8	Building estimated service life and product reference service life	Tech / Ed	Add common acronym.	Write as : International Building Code (IBC) 2024	Reject	Acronym is defined twice in prior sections.	Closed	-
22	8	Use or application of the installed product (B1)	Tech / Ed	unless otherwise justified - by who?	Suggest delete the justified part. Write as : Zero activity may be assumed for this stage.	Reject	Since other use phase activities which do not fit within B2-B7 may exist, justification for their inclusion in B1 instead of B2-B7 would be needed.	Closed	-
23	9	Repair (B3)	Tech / Ed	Add m <sup>2</sup> beside square meter in parenthesis.	Write as : ... square meter (m <sup>2</sup> ) per square meter (m <sup>2</sup> ) of roof coating.	Accept	Added for clarity.	Closed	-
24	9	Repair (B3)	Tech / Ed	Add ft <sup>2</sup> beside square ft in parenthesis.	Write as : ... two 1 square foot (ft <sup>2</sup> ) patches per 100 square feet (ft <sup>2</sup> ).	Accept	Added for clarity.	Closed	-

25	9	Replacement (B4)	Tech / Ed		Delete - unless otherwise justified	Reject	Since replacement activities may occur, justification for their inclusion would be needed.	Closed	-
26	10	Refurbishment (B5)	Ed	Redundant paragraph	Delete the redundant paragraph in this section, also appeared in A5 [second last in B5 section].	Reject	Assumptions apply to activities in both A5 and B5.	Closed	-
27	10	Operational energy use (B6) and operational water use (B7)	Ed		Suggest delete the justified part. Write as : Zero activity may be assumed for this stage.	Reject	Since operational water and energy use may occur, justification for their inclusion would be needed.	Closed	-
28	11	Deconstruction/demolition (C1)	Ed		Suggest delete the justified part. Write as : Zero activity may be assumed for this stage.	Reject	Since the roof coating may be chemically or mechanically removed, justification for those activities would be needed.	Closed	-
29	11	Waste processing (C3)	Tech / Ed		Add: therefore the impacts at this stage may be assumed zero.	Accept	Added for clarity: "no waste processing activity or impacts are applicable in this stage".	Closed	-
30	12	Revision history	Tech / Ed	Complete the sentence by referring to the source of prescribed TRACI indicators	Write as: Disclosure of GWP using IPCC AR6, in addition to latest TRACI indicators as defined in Part A	Accept	Added for clarity.	Closed	-
31	3	Harmonization activities pursued	Ed	"The previous version of the PCR for roof coatings was found to have its validity period extended through September 2025" What PCR are we referring to? NSF on architectural coatings?	Specify	Accept	Added for clarity: "coatings (NSF PCR for Roof Coatings v2)".	Closed	-
32	4-5	Table 2	Tech	The values should be also given in SI units, as per part A.	Add values in SI units.	Accept	Added converted values to table.	Closed. Just a typo for Polyurethane, 1 gallon per 100 ft² is 0.4 l/m², not 0.5.	Updated to 0.4 l/m²
33	5	2. Additional rules to Part A	Tech	Is the required disclosed density in wet or dry basis, or both?	Specify	Accept	Specified wet basis.	Closed	-
34	6	3. Default life cycle stage scenario(s)	Ed	"If the location of a material/part supplier is unknown, transport distances listed in <b>Table 1</b> shall be used for inbound raw material transportation to facilities located in the United States. " should read Table 3.	Update	Accept	Updated reference.	Closed	-
35	7	3. Default life cycle stage scenario(s)	Tech	In Manufacturing (A3), secondary packaging must be included. This is in contradiction with the exclusion section. Update accordingly.	Update	Accept	Removed exclusion of packaging to be consistent with language in A3.	Closed	-
36	7	3. Default life cycle stage scenario(s)	Tech	In Warehouse/distribution center, the values should also be in SI units as per part A.	Add values in SI units.	Accept	Added SI units.	Closed (Note: kWh is SI)	Updated to kWh/L